

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 45 (2004) 1215-1217

Tetrahedron Letters

Synthesis of model ring systems related to C10–C18 analogues of the mycalamides/theopederins $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\sim}$

John M. Gardiner,* Richard Mills and Thomas Fessard

Department of Chemistry, UMIST, PO Box 88, Manchester M60 1QD, UK

Received 8 January 2003; revised 21 November 2003; accepted 28 November 2003

Abstract—Conjugate addition to D-galactose-derived pyranones 8 and 10, with in situ enolate alkylation, or protonation, provides pyranones 11–13 or 16–19. These are related to the C10–C18 fragment of the mycalamides and provide a short entry to C10, C11, C14 and C15 stereocentres. This approach is relevant to introduction of the side-chain and analogues thereof, and allows for variable C14 functionality. Two side-chain analogues, both C14 monomethylated diastereomers, the C14 unsubstituted system and the natural product-related C14 dimethyl functionality are prepared, and C13 epimeric functionality introduced. © 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Sponges of the genus *Mycale* and *Theonella*, have yielded a range of potently bioactive compounds sharing an unusual ring system, constituting the mycalamides (e.g., **1** and **2**),^{1a} theopederins (extended and/or lactol/lactone containing side-chains)^{1b} and Onnamide A (15-carbon guanidine-terminated side-chain).^{1c} Their ring system is closely related to pederin^{1d} (from the terrestrial blister beetle, *Paederus fuscipes*), which lacks the methylene acetal ring B and has a C13 hydroxyl group. The mycalamides show strong in vivo antiviral activity,^{2a} potent inhibition of DNA and protein biosynthesis, promising antitumour activity (low nanomolar),^{2b,c} and show powerful immunosuppressant activities, with comparable or better in vitro efficacy than cyclosporin A, rapamycin or FK506.^{2d}

There have been several total or partial syntheses of the mycalamides, pederin and theopederin systems reported since 1990.³ Poor diastereocontrol on dihydroxylation of C17,C18 alkene precursors (even using matched AD) has been a recurrent problem. Retrosynthetic disconnection provides components, **3** and **4** (Scheme 1). The aminal function at C10 has been introduced previously either through azidation of the C10 lactol (via the C10 carboxylate)^{3b,c,d} and subsequent separation, or through



Scheme 1.

Curtius rearrangement from the C10 carboxylate.^{3e,f,h} Carbamate protected variants of **4** have proven invaluable in completing assembly of the 'left' and 'right' hand fragments and analogues.^{3e,h}

Targeting modified analogues is encouraged by natural product degradation studies showing various groups can be altered while retaining biological activity,⁴ and by the structural diversity of bioactive natural products. Several ring C and side-chain-modified unnatural analogues have also been reported.⁵

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2003.11.141

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-161-200-4530; e-mail: john.gardiner @umist.ac.uk

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2003.11.141

Our particular aims were to develop methodology applicable to new structural analogues at C13, C14 and the side-chain terminus of the mycalamide system.

We envisaged that the C15–C16 C–C bond could be introduced by stereoselective conjugate addition on a precursor pyranone with in situ trapping (at C14) of the intermediate enolate. This maps 4 back to a pyranone of type 5, suggesting D-galactose as starting material (Scheme 2). This approach should allow entry to the natural *gem*-dimethyl system (using 5, $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{M}e$, trapping with methyl electrophile) and also to various potential side chain *and* C14 analogues not readily available by other means, by simply changing one or more of the conjugate nucleophile, the nature of \mathbb{R}^1 on the substrate, and on the enolate trapping employed. This would also avoid the use of AD on a terminal (allyl) C17,C18 alkene. (Late stage elaboration at C11 to intercept known intermediates is envisaged.)

We intended to use *non-acyl* protection of the hydroxyls of the conjugate acceptors 5. Thus, 3,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-Dgalactal 6 was directly converted to the tri-O-benzyl-Dgalactal derivative 7 using NaOH and BnCl under phase transfer conditions (Scheme 3).⁶ Treatment of 7 with iodobenzene diacetate provided (2R,3S)-3-(benzyloxy)-2-((benzyloxy)methyl)-2,3-dihydro-pyran-4-one 8 in good yield.⁷ Pyranone 8 was converted into methylated analogue 10 by conversion to iodide 9 and then methyl insertion using tetramethyltin, CuI, AsPh3 and PdCl₂(PhCN)₂ in NMP.⁸ This methylated analogue provides a second conjugate addition substrate amenable to accessing the gem-dimethyl C14 functionality of the natural products. A range of side-chain variants and alternative substituents at C14 could be introduced via intermediates 8 and 10.



Scheme 2. Retrosynthesis from 4.

Conjugate additions to 8 and 10 were first evaluated using butyl cuprates. Treatment of pyranone 8 with the dibutyl cuprate n-Bu₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (or n-Bu₂Cu-(CN)(MgCl)₂), with proton quench, gave 11.

Trapping of the intermediate enolate with MeI gave 12. The relative stereochemistry of 11 and 12 was established from coupling constants and NOED analyses. Conjugate addition to 10, with concomitant enolate trapping using methyl iodide, afforded 13. Reduction of 13 with sodium borohydride gave a single diastereoisomeric alcohol (89% yield), which was methylated (NaH, MeI) to yield 14, a dideoxy homologue of the C ring functionality (C13 relative stereochemistry epimeric).

A quite direct entry to the mycalamide side-chain would be conjugate addition to 10 of a protected diol-containing nucleophile, or some precursor, which could avoid the AD of terminal unsubstituted alkene intermediates. Thus, copper-catalysed conjugate addition of 2-(1,3-dioxan-1-yl)ethylmagnesium bromide to 8 and 10 proceeded smoothly, and in both cases the reaction could be quenched by addition of a proton, or by trapping in situ with methyl iodide (Scheme 4), giving all C14 isomeric options, 16–19. The acetal was envisaged as precursor to C17-deoxy analogues, and also to enol ether systems as better substrates for double diastereocontrol using AD methods. Reduction of 16-19 with sodium borohydride gave a single product alcohol, whose relative stereochemistry was established in the case of 20 and the derived methyl ether 21 by NMR.⁹

This establishes that C3 epimers of the natural product can be provided (alternative choice of reducing agent in directing analogous reductions to the natural product C3 configuration is also well known).^{3b-d.g.h} Deprotection of the acetal provided aldehyde **22**. This intermediate is a precursor to reduction to a C17-deoxy analogue of the mycalamide 1,2-dihydroxy side-chain, or potentially to AD of the derived enol ether for introduction of C17,C18 hydroxylation, or elaboration to other side-chain analogue syntheses.

Elaboration of the C10 hydroxymethyl group to higher oxidation level would provide a route to intercept methods used by others³ to provide the aminoacetal function of **4**. The current approach is also amenable to



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) 50% aq NaOH, t-BuOH, n-Bu₄NHSO₄, BnCl, C_6H_6 ; (b) PhI(OAc)₂, p-TsOH, MeCN; (c) I₂, Py, CCl₄; (d) Me₄Sn, PdCl₂(PhCN)₂, CuI, AsPh₃, NMP; (e) n-Bu₂Cu(CN)Li₂ then NH₄Cl or MeI, HMPA; (f) NaBH₄, MeOH; (g) NaH, MeI.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-(1,3-dioxan-1-yl)ethylmagnesium bromide, CuCN then NH₄Cl or MeI, HMPA; (b) NaBH₄, MeOH; (c) NaH, MeI, (d) CSA, H₂O.

elaborating the C15-branched side-chain towards further novel analogues.

In summary, the conjugate addition trapping is viable for a short entry to precursors to the right-hand side unit of the natural products and specifically to C14 variants, and the chemistry should be amenable to a further range of C13, C14 and side-chain analogues. The C15 stereochemistry can be introduced with high control, and with concurrent flexibility for structural and stereochemical variations at C14. This route provides access to either diastereomeric C14 monomethyl functionality, or to the nonmethylated system (as these are analogues unavailable by degradation/semi-synthesis or in most other syntheses, in such a divergent way), and affords the C13 epimer of the natural stereochemistry (already introduced by others).

Acknowledgements

We thank EPSRC for studentship 94310715 (to R.M.), Dr. Robin Pritchard (UMIST) for X-ray structure analyses (9 and 10) and Bruker UK for obtaining 500 MHz COSY and GOESY NMR analyses of 20 and 21. Some NMR and IR characterisation used instrumentation funded through EPSRC grants GR/L52246 (NMR), GR/M30135 (IR).

References and notes

- (a) Perry, N. B.; Blunt, J. W.; Munro, M. H. G.; Pannell, L. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4850–4851; (b) Fusetani, N.; Sugawara, T.; Matsunaga, S. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3828–3832; (c) Sakemi, S.; Ichiba, T.; Kohmoto, S.; Saucy, G.; Higa, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4851– 4853; (d) Cardani, C.; Ghiringhelli, D.; Mondelli, R.; Quilico, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 6, 2537–2545.
- (a) Thompson, A. M.; Blunt, J. W.; Munro, M. H. G.; Perry, N. B.; Pannell, L. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 1335–1342; (b) Perry, N. B.; Blunt, J. W.; Munro, M. H. G.; Thompson, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 223–227; (c) Burres, N. S.; Clement, J. J. Cancer Res. 1989, 49, 2935–2940;

(d) Galvin, F.; Freeman, G. J.; Razi-Wolf, Z.; Bencerraf, B.; Nadler, L.; Resier, H. *Eur. J. Immun.* **1993**, *23*, 283–286.

- 3. (a) Toyota, M.; Yamamoto, N.; Nishikawa, Y.; Fukumoto, K. Heterocycles 1995, 40, 115-117; (b) Hong, C.-Y.; Kishi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4242-4245; (c) Hong, C.-Y.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9693-9694; (d) Nakata, T.; Fukui, H.; Nagakawa, T.; Matsukura, H. Heterocycles 1996, 42, 159-163; (e) Marron, T. G.; Roush, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 1581-1584; (f) Breitfelder, S.; Schlapbach, A.; Hoffmann, R. W. Synthesis 1998, 468-478; (g) Kocienski, P.; Narquizian, R.; Raubo, P.; Smith, C.; Boyle, F. T. Synlett 1998, 869-872; (h) Kocienski, P.; Narquizian, R.; Raubo, P.; Smith, C.; Boyle, F. T. Synlett 1998, 1432–1434 [theopederin D]; (i) Kocienski, P.; Narquizian, R.; Raubo, P.; Smith, C.; Farrugia, L. J.; Muir, K.; Boyle, F. T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 2357-2384; (j) Toyota, M.; Hirota, M.; Hirano, H.; Ihara, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2031-2034; (k) Roush, W. R.; Marron, T. G.; Pfeifer, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 474-478; (1) Rech, J. C.; Floreancig, P. E. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1495-1498; (m) Trotter, N. S.; Takahashi, S.; Nakata, T. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 957-959.
- (a) Thompson, A. M.; Blunt, J. W.; Munro, M. H. G.; Perry, N. B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 1233– 1242; (b) Abell, A. D.; Blunt, J. W.; Foulds, G. J.; Munro, M. H. G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 1647–1654, and references cited therein.
- Fukui, H.; Tsuchiya, Y.; Fujita, K.; Nakagawa, T.; Kishino, H.; Nakata, T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 1997, 7, 2081–2086.
- Chmielewski, M.; Fokt, I.; Grodner, J.; Grynkiewicz, G.; Szeja, W. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1989, 8, 735–744 (45% yield of 7 over 4 days, with both 4,6-di-O-benzyl- and 3,6-di-Obenzyl-D-galactal formed).
- 7. The structures of both 9 and 10 were unambiguously confirmed by X-ray structure analyses. CCDC22630 and CCDC226231 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving. html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033; e-mail: deposit@ ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
- (a) Farina, V.; Krishnan, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9585–9595; (b) Johnson, C. R.; Adams, J. P.; Braun, M. P.; Senanayake, C. B. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 919– 922; (c) Bellina, F.; Carpita, A.; Ciucci, D.; De Santis, M.; Rossi, R. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 4677–4698.
- 9. Through combination of COSY and GOESY (series of 1D-NOE experiments) at 500 MHz. Diagnostic interactions indicated in supplementary information.